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ABSTRACT – Most pregnant women are exposed to some physical activity at work. This Concise Guidance is aimed at doctors advising healthy women with uncomplicated singleton pregnancies about the risks arising from five common workplace exposures (prolonged working hours, shift work, lifting, standing and heavy physical workload). The adverse outcomes considered are: miscarriage, preterm delivery, small for gestational age, low birth weight, pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension. Systematic review of the literature indicates that these exposures are unlikely to carry much of an increased risk for any of the outcomes, since small apparent effects might be explicable in terms of chance, bias, or confounding, while larger and better studies yield lower estimated risks compared with smaller and weaker studies. In general, patients can be reassured that such work is associated with little, if any, adverse effect on pregnancy. Moreover, moderate physical exercise is thought to be healthy in pregnancy and most pregnant women undertake some physical work at home. The guidelines provide risk estimates and advice on counselling.
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Background

In the UK, as in most parts of the world, women comprise a substantial proportion of the modern workforce (47.6% in Spring, 2010), with an estimated 350,000 pregnant women working each year. Several reproductive hazards associated with working each year. Two segments of the workforce (prolonged working hours, shift work, lifting, standing, heavy physical workload) have been built on, and extends, three previous reviews on occupational safety of physical factors at work. Women with comorbidities, a previous adverse obstetric history or complications in the present pregnancy, including multiple pregnancies, should seek specialist advice from their obstetrician or midwife. Further information has also been provided by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and the National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health.

Box 1 provides definitions of the terms commonly used in these guidelines.

Evidence synthesis and rationale

The evidence synthesis underpinning the present guidelines has been built on, and extends, three previous reviews on occupational activity and pregnancy outcome. It relates to five.
occupied exposures (working hours, shift work, lifting, standing and physical workload) that are all common among women of reproductive age. For example, in 2010, 16% of working women aged 15–39 years undertook shifts in their main job ‘most of the time’ and 10% of women in full-time jobs worked more than 40 h/week.11

The chosen outcomes (miscarriage, preterm delivery, small for gestational age (SGA), low birth weight (LBW), pre-eclampsia and/or gestational hypertension) are important to the health of the fetus, neonate and mother. Preterm birth is the most important single determinant of adverse infant outcome in terms of both survival and quality of life.12,13 LBW is a cause of infant morbidity and mortality,14 as well as predicting adverse outcomes in later life (eg poorer growth and development, neurological and cognitive deficit, high blood pressure, non-insulin-dependent diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke and obstructive lung disease);15 pre-eclampsia and eclampsia were responsible for 8.4% of maternal deaths in the UK during 2006–2008;16 and miscarriage can cause enduring psychological distress. As well as being important, these adverse pregnancy outcomes are also common: 6.7% of live singleton births in hospitals in England during 2010–2011 were complicated by prematurity; 6.7% involved gestational hypertension and/or pre-eclampsia; and 6.6% of birth weights were <2,500 g17 in addition, 10–14% of recognised pregnancies end in miscarriage.18

Current European Union (EU) legislation (92/85, EEC) and the related Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 and guidance from the Health and Safety Executive (HSE)19 require employers to assess health and safety risks to pregnant workers, and to control them. However, there is currently limited advice for clinicians who care for healthy pregnant workers.20

**Guideline development**

Two systematic searches in Medline and EMBASE (January 1966 to June 13th 2012 for miscarriage, January 1966 to December 31st 2011 for other outcomes) identified 113 overlapping reports relating to these work exposures and pregnancy outcomes: 57 reports on preterm delivery, 54 on birth weight, 30 on miscarriage and 11 concerning pre-eclampsia or gestational hypertension. Eligible studies were critically assessed for their completeness of reporting and potential for bias and confounding following previously published methods,21,22 adapted in part from SIGN methodology and elements proposed by Ariens et al.23 and van der Windt et al.24 For studies with similar definitions, pooled meta-estimates of relative risk (RR) were calculated, updating earlier computations.9 A multidisciplinary stakeholders group, comprising representatives from obstetrics, midwifery, general practice, general medicine, occupational medicine, the Royal College of Physicians (RCP), the trades union movement and the public (a representative from the parents’ charity National Childbirth Trust (NCT)), formulated these guidelines in light of the evidence.

**Appraisal of the evidence**

The evidence base is extensive for preterm delivery, LBW and SGA, more limited for miscarriage, and very limited for pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension. It has several strengths: pregnancy outcomes were usually confirmed from objective sources, most studies were well reported, response rates were typically high and studies were often large. By contrast, nearly half of reports were potentially affected by confounding or bias and the exposures ‘occupational lifting’, ‘physical workload’ and even ‘shift work’ were not defined uniformly between studies.

Within these limitations (and strengths), findings were broadly reassuring. For preterm delivery, pooled estimates tended to indicate no more than modest elevations in risk (eg RR <1.2 or ≤1.3 extra case per 100 deliveries to exposed women). The larger and most complete studies reported the smallest levels of risk, suggesting that risk estimates in other studies were inflated by bias. For SGA, meta-estimates were close to the no-effect level. For miscarriage, meta-risk estimates were elevated moderately

---

**Box 1. Terms and definitions commonly used in the research supporting these guidelines.**

**Preterm delivery**: birth of a living fetus before 37 completed weeks of gestation

**Small-for-gestational age (SGA)**: birth weight below the 10th centile on the expected distribution of birth weights by duration of gestation

**Low birth weight (LBW)**: birth weight <2,500 g

**Pregnancy-induced hypertension**: gestational hypertension (elevation of blood pressure in a previously normotensive woman that occurs after the 20th week of gestation and resolves after delivery) in the absence of proteinuria

**Pre-eclampsia**: gestational hypertension with proteinuria and oedema

**Miscarriage**: pregnancy loss after recognition of pregnancy and before the 24th week of gestation*

**Prolonged standing**: ≥4 h per day in total [≥6 h per day for miscarriage]

**Prolonged working hours**: ≥40 h/week

**Shift work**: night-shift working, evening-shift work, rotating-shift work

**Fixed night-shift work**: work always at night

**Three-shift schedule**: a rotational shift pattern involving time worked in blocks, sometimes during the day, sometimes during the evening and sometimes at night

**Heavy lifting and heavy physical workload**: the evidence base in the occupational setting does not permit exact definitions. However, the Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (RCOG) advises in relation to sustained exertion that recreational exercise in pregnancy should allow the woman still to conduct a conversation, be ‘somewhat hard’ (ie ‘quite an effort/feel tired, but can continue’, on the Borg scale of perceived exertion), should be in a target heart-rate range defined by maternal age if aerobic (not >155 beats/min in 20-year-olds, not >140 beats/min in >40-year-olds), should not precipitate unusual symptoms (eg dizziness or palpitations), and should include warm-up and cool-down phases.25 In relation to ‘heavy lifting’, the HSE does not give specific guidance for pregnant workers, but a ‘typical’ load in studies that provided such detail was approximately 10–12 kg (a weight that is not uncommonly lifted in the home by pregnant women with toddlers).26

*Studies used various definitions, ranging from 20 to 28 weeks.
A The potential* risk for preterm delivery is estimated to be 1.2 extra cases (95% CI 0.3 to 2.2) in every 100 deliveries to women working more than 40 h/wk.

Available evidence does not indicate an increase in risk in relation to SGA (–0.1 extra cases (95% CI –1.2 to 1.1) in every 100 deliveries to women working more than 40 h/wk).

B The potential* risk of miscarriage is estimated to be 2.0 extra cases (95% CI –2.4 to 8.5) in every 100 pregnancies to women working more than 40 h/wk.

There might potentially* be a small increased risk of preterm delivery, SGA and miscarriage from prolonged standing at work.

A The potential* risk of preterm delivery is estimated to be 0.3 extra cases (95% CI –0.4 to 1.0) in every 100 deliveries to women who work shifts.

Available evidence does not indicate an increase in risk in relation to SGA (–0.2 extra cases (95% CI –1.1 to 0.8) in every 100 deliveries to women with that exposure).

B The potential* risk of miscarriage is estimated to be 1.4 extra cases of (95% CI –0.5 to 3.6) in every 100 pregnancies to women working a 3-shift schedule.

C The potential* risk of miscarriage is estimated to be 6.1 extra cases (95% CI 3.2 to 9.4) in every 100 pregnancies to women with a fixed night shift schedule.

There might potentially* be a small increased risk of preterm delivery and miscarriage among women with a heavy physical workload.

A The potential* risk for preterm delivery is estimated to be 0.9 extra cases (95% CI –0.1 to 1.9) in every 100 deliveries to women undertaking prolonged standing (>4 hours/day) at work.

The potential* risk for SGA is estimated to be 1.6 extra cases (95% CI –0.3 to 3.8) in every 100 deliveries to women undertaking prolonged standing (>4 hours/day) at work.

C The potential* risk for miscarriage is estimated to be 1.9 extra cases (95% CI 0.1 to 3.8) in every 100 pregnancies to women undertaking prolonged standing (>6 hours/day) at work.

There might potentially* be a very small increased risk of preterm delivery, SGA and miscarriage from heavy lifting at work.

B The potential* risk of preterm delivery is estimated to be 0.6 extra cases (IQR –0.9 to 1.1) in every 100 deliveries to women who undertake heavy lifting at work.

B The potential* risk of SGA is estimated to be 0.8 extra cases (IQR 0.4 to 1.6) of SGA in every 100 deliveries to women who undertake heavy lifting at work.

B The potential* risk of miscarriage is estimated to be 0.2 extra cases (95% CI –3.2 to 5.3) of miscarriage in every 100 pregnancies to women lifting >100 kg/d.

There might potentially* be a small increased risk of preterm delivery and miscarriage among women with a heavy physical workload.

B The potential* risk of preterm delivery is estimated to be 0.7 extra cases (IQR 0.3 to 1.1) in every 100 deliveries to women with a heavy physical workload.

Available evidence does not indicate an increase in risk in relation to SGA (–1.2 extra cases (IQR –1.9 to 0.0) in every 100 deliveries to women with a heavy physical workload).

C The potential* risk of miscarriage is estimated to be 1.4 extra cases (IQR –8.2 to 2.4) in every 100 pregnancies to women with a heavy physical workload.

D Pregnant women can be advised that there is insufficient evidence to draw firm conclusions about the effect of long working hours, shift work, prolonged standing, lifting and heavy physical work, on risks of pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension, although such evidence as exists suggests that risks are no more than small.

*Uncertainty in risk estimation reflects both the amount of evidence (and play of chance) and its quality (eg vulnerability to bias or confounding variables). The numbers capture the effect of chance assuming that there is no bias (which might not be so); where a negative number is quoted, risk estimates appear compatible with a benefit from the activity; estimates of uncertainty that straddle 0.0 at their confidence limits (or IQR) might arise by chance in the absence of a true effect. The grading reflects the overall weight, quality and consistency of evidence.

†The grading system was adapted from SIGN by the Guideline Development Group: A, a substantial and consistent body of observational evidence at approximately grade 2+, supported by one or several high-quality systematic reviews with meta-estimates of effect; B, as A, but without meta-estimates of effect; or as A, but with fewer, but a still reasonably large number of studies; C, a small body of observational evidence at approximately grade 2+ tending in the same direction (with or without accompanying meta-analysis); D, a very small body of observational evidence. Estimated numbers of excess cases per 100 deliveries to exposed women are based where available on meta-estimates from higher quality studies, with 95% CI, and otherwise on the median and IQR across all relevant studies. The estimates assume a prevalence of singleton live preterm delivery of 6.7%, a prevalence of SGA of 10% and a prevalence of miscarriage of 12%.

‡The evidence base does not allow exact definition of heavy lifting or physical workload, but the National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health and NICE advise that ‘beginning or continuing a moderate course of exercise during pregnancy is not associated with adverse outcomes.’
overall, but generally lower in better quality studies (RR <1.2); higher risks were implied from working fixed night shifts, but in only a few studies, each with individual limitations (RR 1.5). For pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension, there were insufficient data to draw firm conclusions, although risks were seldom much elevated.

Table 1 presents evidence showing the best estimates of the excess risk (per 100 exposed women), together with an assessment of the strength of evidence and statistical uncertainty. In summary, none of the exposures appeared likely to carry much of an increased risk for any of the outcomes. Although small levels of excess risk might exist for preterm delivery, SGA, LBW and miscarriage, it is possible that many or all of these effects arise from a combination of chance, bias and residual confounding. (Even in the absence of bias or confounding, estimates of effect embrace the possibility of no effect or even a small benefit from many of the activities of interest.)

Legal position

Employers have an ongoing duty to assess and control risks to their employees’ health and safety arising from their work. They also have a specific obligation in relation to pregnant workers (Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999), which extends where necessary and feasible to the offer of alter-

The issues in context

However, the evidence base supporting mandatory medical restriction for the work activities covered by this guideline is weak. Such advice should not be issued without careful consideration, because some women might become anxious and unnecessary avoidance of work might ensue. By inference, also, similar physical activities outside work might seem contraindicated, whereas the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists25 and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists26 recommend that all women should be encouraged to participate in aerobic and strength-conditioning exercise as part of a healthy lifestyle during their pregnancy and that ‘reasonable goals’ of aerobic conditioning should be maintained; these appear to confer physical and psychological benefits (eg reduced fatigue, varicosities, swelling of extremities, insomnia, stress, anxiety and depression, perhaps even length of labour and delivery complications25).

On the other hand, outcomes such as preterm delivery can have important health consequences and patients will differ in their understanding and tolerance of uncertainty at apparently low levels of risk. In general, the evidence base is reassuring, especially given the potential disadvantages of refraining from work activities. Some women might remain clinically anxious and need tailored individual counselling, or a choice, for example, of moving from fixed night working to an alternative work pattern. In any event, many women will need to reduce
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Table 2. Recommendations advising pregnant women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Pregnant women can be reassured that current evidence offers no justification for imposing mandatory restrictions in relation to their working hours, shift working, lifting, standing and physical workload at work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Pregnant women can be informed that it is uncertain whether long working hours, shift work, prolonged standing, lifting and heavy physical work increase risks for preterm delivery, SGA, miscarriage and/or pre-eclampsia and/or gestational hypertension to a small degree. Best estimates generally suggest small increases in risk, but typically the data are also compatible with no effect (or even a small benefit) from work. There might also be disadvantages in refraining from work, which need to be considered (see main text). Thus, advice on work avoidance should be tailored to each patient’s tolerance of uncertainty at apparently low levels of risk, and the anxiety or otherwise that this engenders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>In communicating risk information to pregnant women, a structured approach is recommended, covering the background level of risk in unexposed women, best estimates of any excess risk with uncertainties (both in the estimate of risk and in the quality of evidence), and any follow-on advice (see Box 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Women with an adverse obstetric history or with obstetric risk factors and/or pregnancy complications need to receive individualised advice from their obstetrician or midwife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Regardless of any potential risks to the fetus, the physiological demands of late pregnancy (after 28 weeks’ gestation) are such that women might struggle to cope with excessive work demands, such as: long working hours (eg &gt;40 h/wk); shift work; prolonged standing (eg &gt;4 h/d); heavy physical work and heavy lifting. A good case exists for limiting them, and employers should have regard to making reasonable adjustments to the worker’s job profile.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box 2. Available information sheets for professionals.

- Heavy lifting and the risk of miscarriage, preterm delivery and small for gestational age
- Heavy physical workload at work and the risk of miscarriage and preterm delivery
- Long working hours and the risk of miscarriage and preterm delivery
- Shift work and the risk of miscarriage and preterm delivery
- Prolonged standing at work and the risk of miscarriage, preterm delivery and small for gestational age

All these information sheets are available at www.rcplondon.ac.uk/pregnancyguidelines.
long working hours, prolonged standing and heavy physical work, particularly during late pregnancy when physical stamina limits capacity for onerous duties.

Recommendations

In relation to healthy uncomplicated singleton pregnancies, the Guideline Development Group has drawn up the recommendations detailed in Table 2.

Coherence with other advice

These recommendations are consistent with previous recommendations issued on behalf of the RCP and NHS Plus in 2009 in relation to the same exposures and outcomes. However, the evidence base supporting them is deeper, enabling firmer conclusions to be drawn.

Implementation

No specific issues arise in relation to implementation, because the emphasis will largely be on allaying concerns raised by the patient, rather than some more proactive policy; and the advice recommended carries no resource implications for the advising clinician.

Information sheets for professionals for each exposure (Box 2) outlining any evidence on risk in relation to the outcomes covered by the literature review have been prepared, and are available on the RCP website at www.clinmed.rcpjournals.org. The advice given in the information sheets is designed to be used by professionals when advising women with a healthy, uncomplicated, singleton pregnancy.
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